

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/606,298	HOPPER ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	John L. Goodrow	1756	

All Participants:

(1) John L. Goodrow.

(2) Mr. Palazzo.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 8 December 2005

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Double Patenting

Claims discussed:

1-36

Prior art documents discussed:

art of record

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Applicants remarks of record are persuasive in that the organic sequestering agent chelates or complexes the metal coagulant that results in a precipitate of the coagulant metal ion. Claim 3 will be canceled and added to the independent Claims.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)